



Staff : DR.ANUP THOMAS

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 38

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 87.87

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	68.42%	461.5
		Good	14.13	8	21.05%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	3	7.89%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	2.63%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	68.42%	377
		Good	11.81	10	26.32%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	1	2.63%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.63%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	68.42%	195
		Good	5.71	9	23.68%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	3	7.89%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	65.79%	146.25
		10 to 25	3.66	7	18.42%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	5.26%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	10.53%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	27	71.05%	108
Yes		0.67	8	21.05%	5.36	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	7.89%	4.95	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	73.68%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	23.68%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.63%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	76.32%	137.75
		Too Fast	1.34	6	15.79%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	7.89%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	71.05%	185.76
		Some Times	3.89	8	21.05%	31.12
		No	0.74	3	7.89%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	76.32%	195.75
		Indifferent	2.78	8	21.05%	22.24
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.63%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	31	81.58%	356.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	13.16%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.63%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.63%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	63.16%	270
		Good	9.03	11	28.95%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	2	5.26%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	2.63%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- uuuu

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 39

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 84.27

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	22	56.41%	390.5
		Good	14.13	12	30.77%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	5	12.82%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	23	58.97%	333.5
		Good	11.81	10	25.64%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	4	10.26%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	2.56%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.56%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	21	53.85%	157.5
		Good	5.71	14	35.9%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	2	5.13%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.56%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.56%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	27	69.23%	157.95
		10 to 25	3.66	5	12.82%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	7.69%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	10.26%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	26	66.67%	104
Yes		0.67	11	28.21%	7.37	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	5.13%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	61.54%	222
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	33.33%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.56%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	28	71.79%	133
		Too Fast	1.34	4	10.26%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	17.95%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	74.36%	199.52
		Some Times	3.89	6	15.38%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	5.13%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.13%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	76.92%	202.5
		Indifferent	2.78	5	12.82%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	5.13%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.13%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	74.36%	333.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	7	17.95%	9.31
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.13%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.56%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	20	51.28%	225
		Good	9.03	13	33.33%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	3	7.69%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	2	5.13%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.56%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- bb

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : ANCY RAJ V E

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 38

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 83.18

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	63.16%	426
		Good	14.13	8	21.05%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	4	10.53%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	2	5.26%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	52.63%	290
		Good	11.81	12	31.58%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	2	5.26%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	3	7.89%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.63%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	19	50%	142.5
		Good	5.71	12	31.58%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	5	13.16%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	2	5.26%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	24	63.16%	140.4
		10 to 25	3.66	3	7.89%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	4	10.53%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	18.42%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	63.16%	96
Yes		0.67	9	23.68%	6.03	
Can't Judge		1.65	5	13.16%	8.25	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	57.89%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	34.21%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.63%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.26%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	28	73.68%	133
		Too Fast	1.34	6	15.79%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	10.53%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	24	63.16%	165.12
		Some Times	3.89	7	18.42%	27.23
		No	0.74	3	7.89%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	10.53%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	28	73.68%	189
		Indifferent	2.78	4	10.53%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	7.89%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	7.89%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	31	81.58%	356.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	7.89%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.26%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	5.26%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	57.89%	247.5
		Good	9.03	11	28.95%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	3	7.89%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	2.63%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.63%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- bb
- Being a little strict will be helpful for some students.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : SREEKUTTY S

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 38

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 80.57

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	15	39.47%	266.25
		Good	14.13	13	34.21%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	6	15.79%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	3	7.89%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.63%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	36.84%	203
		Good	11.81	15	39.47%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	6	15.79%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.63%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	47.37%	135
		Good	5.71	12	31.58%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	6	15.79%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	1	2.63%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.63%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	65.79%	146.25
		10 to 25	3.66	5	13.16%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	7.89%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	13.16%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	63.16%	96
Yes		0.67	8	21.05%	5.36	
Can't Judge		1.65	6	15.79%	9.9	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	21	55.26%	194.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	34.21%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.63%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	7.89%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	27	71.05%	128.25
		Too Fast	1.34	6	15.79%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	13.16%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	71.05%	185.76
		Some Times	3.89	5	13.16%	19.45
		No	0.74	3	7.89%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	7.89%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	28	73.68%	189
		Indifferent	2.78	6	15.79%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.63%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	7.89%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	76.32%	333.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	13.16%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.26%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	5.26%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	19	50%	213.75
		Good	9.03	12	31.58%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	5	13.16%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	2.63%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.63%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- hh

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : GOPIKA GOPAN

Subject : HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 37

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 79.69

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	18	48.65%	319.5
		Good	14.13	11	29.73%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	4	10.81%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	4	10.81%	14.12
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	45.95%	246.5
		Good	11.81	7	18.92%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	10	27.03%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	3	8.11%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15	40.54%	112.5
		Good	5.71	12	32.43%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	7	18.92%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	3	8.11%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	70.27%	152.1
		10 to 25	3.66	4	10.81%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	8.11%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	10.81%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	23	62.16%	92
Yes		0.67	10	27.03%	6.7	
Can't Judge		1.65	4	10.81%	6.6	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	20	54.05%	185
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	32.43%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	3	8.11%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.41%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	26	70.27%	123.5
		Too Fast	1.34	5	13.51%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	16.22%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	25	67.57%	172
		Some Times	3.89	6	16.22%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	5.41%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	10.81%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	26	70.27%	175.5
		Indifferent	2.78	4	10.81%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	8.11%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	10.81%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	27	72.97%	310.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	13.51%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	8.11%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	2	5.41%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	18	48.65%	202.5
		Good	9.03	13	35.14%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	4	10.81%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	2	5.41%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- hhh

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : VRINTHA K V

Subject : HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 36

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 85.21

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	66.67%	426
		Good	14.13	8	22.22%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	2	5.56%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	2	5.56%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	69.44%	362.5
		Good	11.81	5	13.89%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	3	8.33%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	3	8.33%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	24	66.67%	180
		Good	5.71	5	13.89%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	6	16.67%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	1	2.78%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	24	66.67%	140.4
		10 to 25	3.66	4	11.11%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	4	11.11%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	11.11%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	66.67%	96
Yes		0.67	9	25%	6.03	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	8.33%	4.95	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	23	63.89%	212.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	25%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.56%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.56%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	27	75%	128.25
		Too Fast	1.34	5	13.89%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	11.11%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	75%	185.76
		Some Times	3.89	5	13.89%	19.45
		No	0.74	2	5.56%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.56%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	28	77.78%	189
		Indifferent	2.78	3	8.33%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	11.11%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.78%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	28	77.78%	322
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	13.89%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	8.33%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	66.67%	270
		Good	9.03	8	22.22%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	2	5.56%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	2.78%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.78%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- yhh

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : DR.NIJU P

Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 37

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 86.57

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	23	62.16%	408.25
		Good	14.13	9	24.32%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	4	10.81%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	2.7%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	56.76%	304.5
		Good	11.81	11	29.73%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	4	10.81%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	2.7%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	62.16%	172.5
		Good	5.71	11	29.73%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	2	5.41%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.7%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	70.27%	152.1
		10 to 25	3.66	5	13.51%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	8.11%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	8.11%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	25	67.57%	100
Yes		0.67	10	27.03%	6.7	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	5.41%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	26	70.27%	240.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	21.62%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.41%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.7%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	78.38%	137.75
		Too Fast	1.34	2	5.41%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	16.22%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	72.97%	185.76
		Some Times	3.89	5	13.51%	19.45
		No	0.74	3	8.11%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.41%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	81.08%	202.5
		Indifferent	2.78	3	8.11%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	8.11%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.7%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	31	83.78%	356.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	10.81%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.41%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	59.46%	247.5
		Good	9.03	12	32.43%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	2	5.41%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.7%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- dhsp

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : DR. P SAVITHA

Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 36

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 84.04

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	20	55.56%	355
		Good	14.13	9	25%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	6	16.67%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	1	2.78%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	18	50%	261
		Good	11.81	13	36.11%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	2	5.56%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	3	8.33%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	20	55.56%	150
		Good	5.71	12	33.33%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	11.11%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	27	75%	157.95
		10 to 25	3.66	4	11.11%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2	5.56%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	8.33%	4.92
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	26	72.22%	104	
	Yes	0.67	4	11.11%	2.68	
	Can't Judge	1.65	6	16.67%	9.9	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	21	58.33%	194.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	25%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.56%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	11.11%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	27	75%	128.25
		Too Fast	1.34	4	11.11%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	13.89%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	26	72.22%	178.88
		Some Times	3.89	3	8.33%	11.67
		No	0.74	4	11.11%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	8.33%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	27	75%	182.25
		Indifferent	2.78	4	11.11%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	8.33%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.56%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	80.56%	333.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	8.33%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	8.33%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	2.78%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	17	47.22%	191.25
		Good	9.03	13	36.11%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	5	13.89%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	2.78%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- nzbns

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : DR.MOHAN G

Subject : EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 38

Batch : BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 84.39

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	22	57.89%	390.5
		Good	14.13	10	26.32%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	6	15.79%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	19	50%	275.5
		Good	11.81	10	26.32%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	7	18.42%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	2	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	21	55.26%	157.5
		Good	5.71	11	28.95%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	5	13.16%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	1	2.63%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	65.79%	146.25
		10 to 25	3.66	6	15.79%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	5.26%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	13.16%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	26	68.42%	104
Yes		0.67	9	23.68%	6.03	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	7.89%	4.95	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	57.89%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	31.58%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5.26%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	5.26%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	31	81.58%	147.25
		Too Fast	1.34	5	13.16%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	5.26%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	25	65.79%	172
		Some Times	3.89	7	18.42%	27.23
		No	0.74	4	10.53%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.26%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	31	81.58%	209.25
		Indifferent	2.78	3	7.89%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	7.89%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.63%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	31	81.58%	356.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	13.16%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5.26%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	21	55.26%	236.25
		Good	9.03	12	31.58%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	4	10.53%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	2.63%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- snsjo

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

